

Applicant Name: France Team
 Normalized Scores 79.4

JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5)

Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows no consultation in nominating an initiative; may have been jointly implemented but shows very weak validation of claims</i>	<i>Some effort in consulting with other partners in nominating an initiative; initiative was not jointly implemented but provided minimal validation of claims</i>	<i>Provided sufficient evidence of consulting with other partners to nominate an initiative, was jointly implemented and presented somewhat convincing validation of claims</i>	<i>Demonstrated compelling mechanisms for consulting others in nominating an initiative; was not jointly implemented but shows convincing validation of claims</i>	<i>Shows strong evidence of consulting others in nominating an initiative; jointly implemented with a partner agency and strong validation of claims</i>

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Salpi Ghazarian
Score: 4.7
Comment: The joint implementation is by definition a part of this initiative. The data pool and its us depend on the input of NGOs as well as government.

4.5 / 5

Judge Name: Alex Irwan
Score: 4.5
Comment: It is a good example of restructuring an open government portal by inviting civil society as co-producers of open data. The nomination was conducted together by the government and civil society.

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Don Don Parafina
Score: 4.7
Comment: Partner citizen groups consistently claimed to have been involved in or contributed to the "co-design" process. The inherent inclusivity of the system (i.e., anyone can share data) also facilitated joint implementation.

4.7 / 5

Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada
Score: 4.7
Comment: The nomination of Data.gouv.fr was consulted with the co-owners and co-developers of the site. The presentation of the initiative shows deep partnership and ownership if all stakeholders. The best description of the initiatives was "Data.gouv.fr is a community".

4.3 / 5

Judge Name: Igor Vidacak
Score: 4.3
Comment: France team has presented very convincing evidence of a strong cross-sector collaboration in designing and implementing data.gouv.fr. This is a very successful collaborative project which deserves great attention, with potential to inspire many similar endeavors by open data enthusiasts throughout OGP participating countries. There is a whole list of strong validation letters which prove joint preparation and implementation of the initiative. The application stresses that various civil society organisations and other contributors to the Data.gouv.fr design were consulted before the nomination decision. However, for highest mark in this category, more detailed information on the methods of consultation with stakeholders during the process of nominating the initiative would be needed.

JUDGING CRITERION # 2: DEPTH OF ENGAGEMENT (0-5)

Does the initiative provide incentives for the participation of citizens and offer direct, innovative channels for citizens to engage with government?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Offered no incentives for participation; provides basic information to citizens but no avenues for real engagement to influence policy/service design or implementation; doesn't define a target population</i>	<i>Provided few incentives for participation; obtained basic feedback from some of its target population; however, did not indicate how feedback would be used</i>	<i>Demonstrated sufficient incentives for participation; created indirect ways to solicit citizens' aspirations; strived to exceed the intended level of engagement of its target population</i>	<i>Created reliable ways to incentivize participation; used direct and innovative ways to get citizen feedback; secured participation of at least half of target population</i>	<i>Employed compelling measures to incentivize participation; used direct and innovative methods to partner with citizens in decision-making; reached an ambitious level of engagement with its target population</i>

4.2 / 5

Judge Name: Salpi Ghazarian
Score: 4.2
Comment: The nature of the data cited points directly to empowering citizens in the process of political, legislative, electoral decision-making. This is a sophisticated tool with sophisticated data sets. That it has been used over 1000x indicates that there is an ambitious level of engagement with the target population. The definition of target population, too, is strategic: not just the public at large, but especially opinion and policy makers, able to influence change.

3.1 / 5

Judge Name: Alex Irwan
Score: 3.1
Comment: Etalab provides incentives to citizen to become co-producers of data.

3.4 / 5

Judge Name: Don Don Parafina
Score: 3.4
Comment: Clear indicator of participation in data reuse, allowing even analysis and simulations. How reused data become a point of engagement between the citizens and a particular government agency (responsible for the data) does not have a defined process.

4.1 / 5

Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada
Score: 4.1
Comment: The nomination of Data.gouv.fr was consulted with the co-owners and co-developers of the site. The presentation of the initiative shows deep partnership and ownership of all site stakeholders. The best description of the initiatives was "Data.gouv.fr is a community". It will be interesting to see the community grow from the site stakeholders to a broader base of users.

3.2 / 5

Judge Name: Igor Vidacak
Score: 3.2
Comment: 9 months of co-design phase, 10 workshops all over the country, 2 consultations with network of experts - this demonstrates that there were many incentives for participation. However, only 66 answers from various stakeholders during the open online consultation shows that it is hard to mobilize wider community for an open data project which is predominantly focused on community of power users able to analyze raw data. Overall, the initiative itself is truly inspiring, particularly because it opens platform for citizens and CSO submissions which makes it a very dynamic tool for co-production of information of public interest. It would be very interesting to explore the potential of this tool to further enhance Government-CSO cooperation, even beyond mere opening and co-producing data, towards co-producing public policies. I have given a bit lower score in this category because I needed more evidence on compelling measure to incentivize participation of citizens in shaping public policy. Data.gouv.fr is definitely bringing public data closer to citizens and enables them to feel more ownership of public data (already paid by taxpayers). Building on this potential, it would be great to see parallel investment in building capacities of civil servants to proactively involve citizens and CSOs in decision-making, both online and offline.

JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5)

Did citizen engagement influence the design or delivery of government policy and services? Is there any evidence of concrete benefits to citizens and the government as a result?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows that citizens may be informed but provided little to no evidence of change in public policy or service</i>	<i>Nominally influenced a public policy or service; and shows some benefits to citizens as a result</i>	<i>Sufficiently changed a public policy or service; and demonstrated reliable benefits to citizens as a result</i>	<i>Significantly influenced a public policy or service; resulted in compelling benefits to citizens and the government</i>	<i>Transformed a public policy or service; set new standards for the relationship between government and citizens; resulted in concrete benefits for both</i>

4.9 / 5

Judge Name: Salpi Ghazarian
Score: 4.9
Comment: Political and campaign financing, politicians' (and officials') spending -- these are policy and service areas that have been influenced. Additionally, the promotion of use of data has led to a new push for additional data creation and availability. This is very good use of government-civil society cooperation.

2.5 / 5

Judge Name: Alex Irwan
Score: 2.5
Comment: The program works more at the supply side of data creation. The demand side is left to citizens to use the data for their own purposes. Based on experiences, demands for the data needs to be created too. Co-production should be conducted in parallel with demand creation.

3 / 5

Judge Name: Don Don Parafina
Score: 3.0
Comment: Benefit is still at the level of data collection, processing, analysis and presentation. Big potential to influence public policy and service if link to policy makers and service providers will be explicitly articulated in the design.

4.4 / 5

Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada
Score: 4.4
Comment: A co-owned approach to, and tool for open governance is commendable. Data and evidence-based policy discourse and oversight is enabled.

3.6 / 5

Judge Name: Igor Vidacak
Score: 3.6
Comment: Data.gouv.fr managed to engage wide range of civil society organisations in providing and re-using the data. 1100 examples of data re-use posted in the platform as well as 75000 visits per month is a strong proof of wide recognition of this initiative. As the platform is still in its early phase of development, there is still time for providing more examples of compelling benefits of the high value data sets for citizens and government, as well as for transforming public policies and services. Measuring social and economic value of open data is demanding, but this initiative could be a great case study in this regard.

JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5)

Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time?

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Demonstrates few plans in moving the initiative beyond the pilot stage; does not address any potential threats or challenges to the initiative</i>	<i>Shows some commitment to institutionalizing the initiative; but presents unrealistic ways of managing the initiative</i>	<i>Lists activities to institutionalize the initiative; but only somewhat addresses how challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Outlines a clear path to either institutionalize or scale-up the initiative; makes a good case on how potential challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Presents a durable model that can be institutionalized and/or scaled-up; makes a compelling case for how challenges will be managed</i>

4.5 / 5

Judge Name: Salpi Ghazarian
Score: 4.5
Comment: There are on-the-ground examples of the initiative already being scaled up -- the creation of new data sets through public-private cooperation; additionally, the press have responded to the outcomes of the initiative and thus encouraged greater and broader use.

3.7 / 5

Judge Name: Alex Irwan
Score: 3.7
Comment: The co-production of data between the government and civil society has been institutionalized. But that is only one pillar for sustainability. The other pillar is the creation of demand from citizens to use and re-use the data, and the demand creation has yet to be built in into the program.

3.9 / 5

Judge Name: Don Don Parafina
Score: 3.9
Comment: Co-management makes the program sustainable. Agency interest, which is key to ownership, increases the chances of long-term use, but reported interests have yet to fully materialise. Stakeholders' appreciation of risks has not been sufficiently analysed.

4.5 / 5

Judge Name: Maxine Tanya Hamada
Score: 4.5
Comment: The power relations of 2/3 data providers from outside government and 1/3 from government, to my mind will be a key driver in the usability and sustainability of this community. The quality of data as well as the credible management and support by government will be important in keeping users engaged and increasing. There was no specific mention of challenges.

3.5 / 5

Judge Name: Igor Vidacak
Score: 3.5
Comment: The initiative has a strong support from Prime Minister and highest level of government. Methods used to develop Data.gouv.fr are being replicated and used by various ministries and administrative bodies. For highest score, it would be great to see concrete examples of spill-over of positive effects of this initiative to other policy areas (beyond opening data) and strengthening citizens involvement across government bodies.