

Applicant Name: Guatemala Team  
 Normalized Scores 57.7

**JUDGING CRITERION # 1: CREDIBILITY OF PARTNERSHIPS (0-5)**

**Did the applicant provide sufficient evidence of partnering with other non-government organizations in either nominating, validating and/or jointly implementing the initiative?**

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows no consultation in nominating an initiative; may have been jointly implemented but shows very weak validation of claims</i>	<i>Some effort in consulting with other partners in nominating an initiative; initiative was not jointly implemented but provided minimal validation of claims</i>	<i>Provided sufficient evidence of consulting with other partners to nominate an initiative, was jointly implemented and presented somewhat convincing validation of claims</i>	<i>Demonstrated compelling mechanisms for consulting others in nominating an initiative; was not jointly implemented but shows convincing validation of claims</i>	<i>Shows strong evidence of consulting others in nominating an initiative; jointly implemented with a partner agency and strong validation of claims</i>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.4 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Juanita Burgos  <b>Score:</b> 2.4  <b>Comment:</b></p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.2 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Hernan Charosky  <b>Score:</b> 2.2  <b>Comment:</b> There is some evidence of the municipal program partnering with civil society, but it is not entirely clear the extent and modes of the partnerships.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">3.5 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Diana Parra Silva  <b>Score:</b> 3.5  <b>Comment:</b> The nomination was supported by community representatives. The initiative has its origin in the civil society, to have an open dialogue with authorities, by working sessions with neighbors. It was jointly implemented and presented convincing validation of claims. A stronger evidence of consultation in nominating the initiative could be provided.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">3.4 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Alvaro Ramirez Alujas  <b>Score:</b> 3.4  <b>Comment:</b> The initiative include the commitment of different actors of the local field and civil society organizations</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">4.4 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Jorge Soto  <b>Score:</b> 4.4  <b>Comment:</b> There is clear coordination between different actor in several sectors of society</p>				

**JUDGING CRITERION # 2: DEPTH OF ENGAGEMENT (0-5)**

**Does the initiative provide incentives for the participation of citizens and offer direct, innovative channels for citizens to engage with government?**

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Offered no incentives for participation; provides basic information to citizens but no avenues for real engagement to influence policy/service design or implementation; doesn't define a target population</i>	<i>Provided few incentives for participation; obtained basic feedback from some of its target population; however, did not indicate how feedback would be used</i>	<i>Demonstrated sufficient incentives for participation; created indirect ways to solicit citizens' aspirations; strived to exceed the intended level of engagement of its target population</i>	<i>Created reliable ways to incentivize participation; used direct and innovative ways to get citizen feedback; secured participation of at least half of target population</i>	<i>Employed compelling measures to incentivize participation; used direct and innovative methods to partner with citizens in decision-making; reached an ambitious level of engagement with its target population</i>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.8 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Juanita Burgos  <b>Score:</b> 2.8  <b>Comment:</b></p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.8 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Hernan Charosky  <b>Score:</b> 2.8  <b>Comment:</b> The proximity between the people and the municipal authorities is the key incentive offered. It is not clear what kind of input what kind of input the neighbors introduced or can introduce and what kind of answer the people received so far or could receive.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">3.1 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Diana Parra Silva  <b>Score:</b> 3.1  <b>Comment:</b> The main incentive was being able to have an open dialogue with the mayor and other officials. The way to solicit citizens' aspirations was through the working sessions that were conducted. Basic feedback from its target population was obtained. However, it does not clearly indicate how feedback is being used.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.9 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Alvaro Ramirez Alujas  <b>Score:</b> 3.1  <b>Comment:</b> This is a project that considers a privileged space for ongoing dialogue between citizens and authorities of local scope, and demonstrates results from considering citizens' initiatives in municipal management</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.9 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Jorge Soto  <b>Score:</b> 2.9  <b>Comment:</b> The mechanism for citizen participation is not clear and it seems to be traditional polls</p>				

**JUDGING CRITERION # 3: EVIDENCE OF RESULTS (0-5)**

**Did citizen engagement influence the design or delivery of government policy and services? Is there any evidence of concrete benefits to citizens and the government as a result?**

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Shows that citizens may be informed but provided little to no evidence of change in public policy or service</i>	<i>Nominally influenced a public policy or service; and shows some benefits to citizens as a result</i>	<i>Sufficiently changed a public policy or service; and demonstrated reliable benefits to citizens as a result</i>	<i>Significantly influenced a public policy or service; resulted in compelling benefits to citizens and the government</i>	<i>Transformed a public policy or service; set new standards for the relationship between government and citizens; resulted in concrete benefits for both</i>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.3 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Juanita Burgos  <b>Score:</b> 2.3  <b>Comment:</b></p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.2 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Hernan Charosky  <b>Score:</b> 2.2  <b>Comment:</b> The proximity between the neighbors and the the authorities was achieved and the dialogue had place. At the same time, it is not clear to what extent it had impact in local policies. One participant mentions that the answers from the authorities was too slow.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.4 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Diana Parra Silva  <b>Score:</b> 2.4  <b>Comment:</b> Participants expressed as the main achievement that their views and needs have been heard by the Mayor and local authorities, with the aspiration that will be taken into account. They indicated that such exercises need to be more continuous. And that the process of responding to their proposals has being very slow.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">3.3 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Alvaro Ramirez Alujas  <b>Score:</b> 3.3  <b>Comment:</b> It is recognized that there are answers to requests arising from the spaces of dialogue and citizen participation but not detailed in greater depth or additional information on concrete results is presented in terms of improving the quality of life of the population (target audience)</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.6 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Jorge Soto  <b>Score:</b> 2.6  <b>Comment:</b> It does not show impact of the citizen participation feedback</p>				

**JUDGING CRITERION # 4: SUSTAINABILITY (0-5)**

**Does the applicant make a compelling case that the initiative will be institutionalized or scaled-up over time?**

0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5
<i>Demonstrates few plans in moving the initiative beyond the pilot stage; does not address any potential threats or challenges to the initiative</i>	<i>Shows some commitment to institutionalizing the initiative; but presents unrealistic ways of managing challenges faced by the initiative</i>	<i>Lists activities to institutionalize the initiative; but only somewhat addresses how challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Outlines a clear path to either institutionalize or scale-up the initiative; makes a good case on how potential challenges will be addressed</i>	<i>Presents a durable model that can be institutionalized and/or scaled-up; makes a compelling case for how challenges will be managed</i>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.2 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Juanita Burgos  <b>Score:</b> 2.2  <b>Comment:</b> This initiative is a great exercise to involve citizens on the construction of trust and confidence between municipal government and the community and it's remarkable to be at regional level. The indicative should be complemented by other tools of participation and engagement, for example the use of ICT, social media, radio, TV, call centre, among others.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.6 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Hernan Charosky  <b>Score:</b> 2.6  <b>Comment:</b> There are normative and institutional structures to contribute to the sustainability of the initiative.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">2.6 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Diana Parra Silva  <b>Score:</b> 2.6  <b>Comment:</b> It demonstrates plans in moving the initiative to next stages. It shows commitment to institutionalizing the initiative. It lists activities to institutionalize the initiative; but only somewhat addresses how challenges will be addressed. It is not clear how the initiative will scaled-up over time, incorporating valuable contributions of the participants.</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">3.3 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Alvaro Ramirez Alujas  <b>Score:</b> 3.3  <b>Comment:</b> The initiative can be further enhanced and developed, and can be replicated in other areas in the local and regional scope</p>				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">3.6 / 5</div>				
<p><b>Judge Name:</b> Jorge Soto  <b>Score:</b> 3.6  <b>Comment:</b> It is institutionalized but it does not show a clear mechanism to scale it, nor a digital component</p>				